## REMARKS

In the Office Action, claims 1-3 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In response to the Office Action, claims 1 and 2 of the claims amended during prosecution of the international application, and so labeled as "AMENDED CLAIMS" when the captioned application was filed on January 18, 2005, have been amended taking into account the comments of the Examiner with respect to claims 1 through 3 which were attached to the original specification filed January 18, 2005.

Claims 1 through 3 which the Examiner referred to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, have been added as new claims 3 through 5. Claims 3 through 5 have addressed the informalities noted by the Examiner.

Accordingly, claims 1 through 5 are in condition for allowance.

A Notice of Allowance is in order, and such favorable action and reconsideration are respectfully requested.

However, if after reviewing the above amendments and remarks, the Examiner has any questions or comments, he is cordially invited to contact the undersigned attorneys.

Respectfully submitted,

JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC

By:

John (). Holman

Reg. №0. 22,769

400 Seventh Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-2201 (202) 638-6666

Date: April 27, 2007

JCH/JLS/crj